ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—½ ಭಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಗ್ರ್ಯಾಂಟಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಯಾವ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಈಗಿರುವ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ½ ಅಂಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಬಾಕಿಯದನ್ನು ರೋಕಲ್‌ಬಾಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ½ ಭಾಗ, ಇತರರು ½ ಭಾಗ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರೋಕಲ್‌ಬಾಡೀಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ⅔ ಭಾಗ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿಗದಿಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಷರತ್ತಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

Construction of First Grade College Building at Tumkur.

*Q.—59. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the accommodation in the First Grade College, Tumkur, is insufficient ;

(*b*) the reason why the College building was not constructed though the foundation stone was laid by H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore in the year 1949 ;

(*c*) the total amount of the estimate prepared for the construction of the College building ;

(*d*) whether they will take urgent action for its construction ?

*A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The question of acquiring the District Board building for the College, which is under the Mysore University was under correspondence with the District Board and Mysore University.

(*c*) Rs. 45,96,000.

(*d*) The Mysore University is an autonomous body which will have to take necessary action in the matter.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—With regard to clause (*a*), you have admitted that the accommodation for the students in the University First Grade College of Tumkur is insufficient. Is the Government aware that last year three hundred students were not given seats?

†Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I am not aware of it.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—You say in your reply that the University is contemplating to take, purchase, or buy the district board building, the very building in which the First Grade College is situated. Is there any meaning in saying that the University is going to buy a building which is insufficient ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I do not know whether it is meaningful or meaningless. All that the University informed us is given in reply to you.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Is the Government helpless to make the University to understand that it is in their interest to construct a college building, and if the University does not agree to do so, the Government would stop the grants ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—That question does not arise. The University is an autonomous body. Government cannot interfere in the functions of that body.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—If the University is autonomous and if the Government of Mysore is not able to get anything done by the University, why should not the Government stop the grants to the University ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—That question does not arise.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Was an officer of the status of a Divisional Commissioner sent to Tumkur to go into the details, to see whether the present building would accommodate the present strength of the students or to purchase a building or to construct a building beyond the outskirts of the Tumkur Municipality ? What is the report of the Divisional Commissioner who was sent by the Government ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—The Divisional Commissioner was addressed

regarding the acquisition of the building. He reported that the District Board was not willing to part with it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಸ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪುಸ್ತಾಪಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾದಂಥ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕಿದೆಯೆ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಅಟಾನಮಸ್ ಬಾಡಿ, ಅದು ತನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ತಾನೇ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is the Government aware that the question of having a building or spending forty lakhs for a building is dependent on the Government grant to be given to the University ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Well, Sir, that question does not arise here, because the University has not approved of that. If the University approves of that, then that question will be considered by the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿಯವರು ಸ್ಪೆಟ್ ಸೆಲೆಕ್ಟ್‌ಮಾಡಿ, ಅಲಾಟಾಗಿ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾವಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಲ್ಲ. ಮೊದಲು ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು ಅದು ಹೋಗಿ ಆಮೇಲೆ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ರಚನೆಯಾಯಿತು. ಆ ಸಮಿತಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯಮಾಡಿದೆ.

1-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳ ಸಾಲದೆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಜನರೂ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳೂ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಣ್ಣಾರಾವ್ ಗಣಮುಖಿ.—ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಈ ತೊಂದರೆ ಇದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಬಾಡಿಗೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

———

Report of the All-India Intermediate Ports Committee on the claims of Mangalore Port.

*Q.—82. **Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY** (Coondapoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) who are the representatives of this State on the All-India Intermediate Ports' Committee ;

(*b*) whether the Committee has submitted its report and if so what are its conclusions ;

(*c*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the two members from the State of Madras are openly advocating the cause of Toothukudi Port in Madras State, as against the claims of Mangalore Port ?

*A.—**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA** (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) There are no representatives of the Mysore State as such on the Intermediate Ports Development Committee. The State Port Officer, Mysore, is however, a member of the Committee.

(*b*) The Committee was constituted by the Government of India and it submits its report to the Government of India. It is understood that the Committee has not yet submitted its report.

(*c*) No.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Has it come to the notice of this Government that one of the Ministers of the Central Government very recently stated publicly in Madras that the claims of Tuticorin Port were superior to those of Mangalore and that it was very likely that Tuticorin would be selected for improvement rather than Mangalore ?

†**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—I have nothing with me to say officially that he has said so but a report appeared in the "Deccan Herald" of which I am aware.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY—Have this Government made any representations in this matter to the Central Government ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Only recently we have made it clear to them that Mangalore must be taken up immediately.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the State Port Officer who is a member of the Board has been working against the interest of the State along with two other members who hail from Madras ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The charge is false and erroneous.